

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,496	10/717,496 11/21/2003 J		245503US41X DIV	7608	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 11/15/200 'AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			CEHIC, KENAN		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2616			
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/15/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Office Action Summary 10/11/,496		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Kaminer Kami	·							
Renan Cehic Ze16	Office Action Summary		·					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be a validable under be providence of 3.7 CFR 1.136(a). In no swent, may reply be dimen's life of the may be a validable under be providence of 3.7 CFR 1.136(a). In no swent, may reply be dimen's life of the major of the communication. Plants to reply within the set or extended period for replantable of the communication, even if the major into the major of the communication of the comm	omos Asion Sammary							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be availables under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or went, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be availables under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or went, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be availables under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or went, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). Failure to reply which be also or extended period for reply will by satisfue, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply reviews the bit of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any cannot plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.734(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected to 8c) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected to 9c) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) control of the drawing(s) in the proving of the proving of the carrier. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) control of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Chain of the drawing(s) including the correction is requi	The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extendions of ther may be waitele under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.366.] In or event, however, may a piley be limely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Private SIX (8) MONTHS from the mak								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-922) Police of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	· 		and the second s					
A) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)								
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to pending in the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	53 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/23/2004</u> . 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2, "(Jitter In); i", in [0005] (expression), is not clear. It is not clear if the expression corresponds to the multiplication of (Jitter In); and I or if it is just one variable. Thus similarly, for claim limitation "(Jitter In)i" in [0009], it is not clear what is meant in regards to the inequality.

On page 2,"(max frame duration) i " in [0010], is not clear. It is not clear what the "i" indicates, since in the inequality only a "(max frame duration)" variable is present.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

For claim 1 and 6, the mathematical inequality, in lines 7-8, should be written in one line, for better understanding.

For claim 1 and 6, the claim limitation "a virtual link i" in line 14 seems to refer back to "a virtual link i" in claim 1, lines 12-13. If this is correct it is suggested to applicant to change this to –said virtual link i--.

Claims 2-5,7-10 are objected since they depend on objected claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2616

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claims 1 and 6, the claim limitation "(Jitter In)_i i " is not clear. It is not clear if the expression corresponds to the multiplication of (Jitter In)_I and I or if it is just one variable. Thus similarly, for claim limitation "(Jitter In)i" in claim 1 line 14, it is not clear what is meant in regards to the inequality.

For claim 1 and 6, the claim limitation "latency" in line 8 is not clear. It is not clear to what the latency belongs to /of what the latency is a property of. It is not clear if it applicant is referring latency within a switch or latency of the network.

For claim 1 and 6, the claim limitation "(max frame duration) i " in line 17, is not clear. It is not clear what the "i" indicates, since in the inequality only a "(max frame duration)" variable is present.

Claims 2-5, 7-10 are rejected since they depend on rejected claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Furthermore, the objections set forth in this office action need to be addressed.

For claim 1 and 6, prior art fails to teach exact expression in which the latency of a switch is defined. The closes prior art Sugano et al. (US 5,381,404) discloses an

Application/Control Number: 10/717,496

Art Unit: 2616

expression of latency with most of the claimed variables, however the exact expression is not taught.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-5,381,404 A	01-1995	Sugano et al.	370/238
US-5,565,924 A	10-1996	Haskell et al.	348/423.1
US-2002/0181506 A1	12-2002	Loguinov, Dmitri	370/473
US-6,560,230 B1	05-2003	Li et al.	370/395.42
US-6,735,192 B1	05-2004	Fried et al.	370/352
US-2004/0233931 A1	11-2004	Cohen, Ron	370/468
US-6,850,491 B1	02-2005	Firoiu et al.	370/235
US-6,937,562 B2	08-2005	Farley et al.	370/230
US-6,954,426 B2	10-2005	Suominen, Jukka	370/230
US-7,072,295 B1	07-2006	Benson et al.	370/230
US-7,116,639 B1	10-2006	Gail et al.	370/252
US-7,164,680 B2	01-2007	Loguinov, Dmitri	370/394

The above are recited to show system/methods with latency/delay considerations/calculations.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenan Cehic whose telephone number is (571) 270-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KWANG BIN YAO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KC